PS-011

TO DETERMINE THE GEOTHERMAL FLUID AND TO IDENTIFY GEOTHERMAL STONES MINERAL AT GEOTHERMAL AREA TINGGI RAJA SIMALUNGUN, NORTH SUMATERA PROVINCE USING 2D RESISTIVITY IMAGING AND XRD

Muhammad Kadri[1]* and **Eko Banjarnahor[1]**

[1]*Physics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, State University of Medan
Jl. Willem Iskandar Pasar V Medan 20221
E-mail: kdrmhmmd8@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This reserach is done to determine the geothermal fluid flows beneath the ground by using 2D resistivity imaging and to identify the stones that compile the mineral by using X RD at geothermal Area Tinggi Raja Dolok Morawa Simalungun, North Sumatera Province The area is located at 02º36'- 03º18' N and 98º32'- 99º35' E. The results from XRD by us ing difractometer Jeol-350 Shimadzu 6100 Show that the intensity from x ray difraction ha s the geothermal fluid spreads laterally to the geothermal manifestation. Three lines were surveyed by using 2d resistivity imaging for geothermal delineation purpose. At Tinggi Raj a, the 2d resistivity imaging survey site shows the existence of geothermal fluid flows. The maximum depth of investigations for the surveys is 25 - 30 meters and 155 m of length of each line. The array used in this study are Schlumberger. In general the results show that the subsurface is made up of limestone (resistivity value of less 100 ohm-m) and clay with resistivity also less than 100 ohm-m in all the sections. XRD survey shows the mean mine ral that compile the getothermal stones at Tinggi Raja are calsit ($CaCO_3$) with trigonal crys tal system (hexagonal). This mineral is the mean mineral that compile the clay.

Keyworld*: resistivity method, XRD, clay and limestone*

INTRODUCTION

Energy is an absolute necessity that is needed in human life. The availability of the energ y gives a large influences on the progress of development in this world. The more Increasing of human activity and also the demand of energy the more energy consumption. The most energy consumption in the wolrd especially in Indonesia is from fossil fuels. It makes the fossil is dwindli ng. makes the government saves fuel and energy continue to look for alternative energy source s to re-fulfill the growing need. One-air sum expected energy as an alternative energy is geother mal energy.

Geothermal energy is the energy that is located in the fluid and stones beneath of the eart h surface. The potential of geothermal energy in Indonesia is reach almost 40% from the geoth ermal energy in the world or it is reach 28.000 MWe. But the use of geothermal energy for electr icity energy only 3% from the total geothermal energy in Indonesia. It is caused the location of th e geothermal energy is very relatively difficult to reach.

One of the potential location of geothermal energy is in Simalungun district. Simalungun is located between 02º36'-03º18' N and 98º32'-99º35' E and it is covered 4.386,60 km^2.

Based on geological map, Simalungun has potential geothermal energy area at Dolok Tin ggi raja conservation. In order to to determine the potential geothermal area in Simalungun and t o identify the stones that compile the mineral of geothermal 2d resistivity imaging and XRD are utililized.

The configuration array used in this survey is Schlumberger array. Schlumberger array i s the common array that can be used to investigate the structure of layer beneath the earth surf ace especially to investigate the geothermal prospect areas. For the result of 2D Resistivity, the data is processing by using RES2DINV program in order to obtain a 2Dl cross-sectional model of the subsurface along the path where the resistance value types are distinguished by different colour. The accurate way to identify the mineral rocks that compiled geothermal stones by usin g the X-ray diffraction analysis (X-ray diffraction). XRD analysis is a method that can provide info rmation about the types of minerals present in a rock. XRD results data is then analyzed to deter mine the characteristics of each mineral, mineral percentages, and the level of mineral crystallini ty

METHODOLGY

The research is done at Tinggi Raja conservation area, Dolok Morawa village, at Simalun gun District. The reasearch is done for two weeks from 19 until 30 of June 2014.

Electrical Imaging system is now mainly carried out with a multi-electrode resistivity meter system.

Each survey use a line of 41 electrodes laid out in a straight line with a constant spacing. A computer controlled system is then used to automatically select the active electrodes for each measure. Throughout the survey conducted in the proposed site, the Schlumberger array have been used with the ABEM SAS 4000 system.

In this survey the 2D resistivity array is Schlumberger array, we need to move the two pot ential electrodes to obtain readings. This can significantly reduce the time required to acquire a sound. Because the electrode potential remains at a fixed location, the effects of near-surface la teral variations in custody deducted (Loke, 2004).

By applying the Schlumberger array (Figure 1) showed better resolution in the near surfac e layer. However, because of the potential electrode spacing smaller than the current electrode spacing, to a large current electrode spacing is very sensitive voltmeter is required. A location w here the top layer is very non-homogeneous is not suitable for the central array. As a result, inte rpretations based on DC Soundings will be limited to simple, horizontally layered structure (Loke

, 2004).

Figure 1. Schlumberger array sensitivity pattern plot

For XRD analysis, the samples were tested at static state. Data results of X-ray radiation in the form of a diffraction spectrum. X-rays detected by the detector is then recorded by a comp uter in the form of a graph of peak intensity, which further analyzed the distance between crystal lattice planes and compared with Bragg law on a computer using certain software in order to ge nerate the data. The process of data interpretation is done by identifying the peaks of the XRD c hart by matching the existing peak on the graph with the ICDD database. So that, refinement on the XRD data using the Match program. Through the refinement, content, and their phase struct ure and lattice parameters that exist in the sample is known.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Resistivity result

The length of line 1 is 155 meters. The maximum depth of investigations for the surveys i s 30 meters. The location of the survey is shown in figure 2. A spacing of 5 meters using the Sh clumberger array was used on the survey. The total length of the survey lines is 465 meters. In general the results show that the subsurface is made up of silt (resistivity value between 100 - 1 40 Ωm) and sandstones with resistivity from 100 to 600 Ωm. The high resistivity values of more than 600 Ωm near the surface is due limestone.

Based on the geological map sheet the area of Tinggi Raja, generally the location of rese arch consists of limestone and has a fault.

Generally the result of line 1 is dominating by the value of resistivity more than 600 Ωm.it can be interpreted as limestone.

For line 1 (Figure 3), the result shows the value of resistivity from 9.09 to 80 Ωm at the depth of 2.5 to 28.7 in the distance 25-92 m and 112-113 m, it can be interpreted as clay. At the depth depth of 1.25 to 28.7 m in the distance of 22 to 102.5 m the resistivity value from 140 – 20 0 Ωm. It can be interpreted as silt. at a depth of 1.25 to 2.87 m in the distance of 17 -137 m the r

esistivity value is from 106 to 600 Ωm it can be interpreted as sandstone. The value of resistivity is more than 600 Ωm is interpreted as limestone that lies at a depth of 1.28 to 28.7 m and the distance of between 7.5 to 144 m.


Figure 2. Research area contour


Figure 3. Resistivity section of line 1

**Figure 4.** Resistivity section of line 2

Figure 5.. Resistivity section of line 3

For line 2 (Figure 4), the result shows the value of resistivity from 7,89-80 Ωm at the depth of 1.25 to 24 in the distance 87-99 m, it can be interpreted as clay. At the depth depth of 1.25 to 24 m in the distance of 67 to 79 m and 83 – 97.5 m the resistivity value from 200 – 600 Ωm . It can be interpreted as sand. At a depth of 1.28 to 28 m in the distance of 2.5 -143 m the resistivity value is more than 600 Ωm it can be interpreted as limestone.


Figure 6. Contour of depth

For line 3 (Figure 5), the result shows the value of resistivity from 40 to 80 Ωm at the depth of 26.35 to 28.7 in the distance 52 -59 m it can be interpreted as clay. At the depth depth of 20.85 to 28.7 m in the distance of 45 to 60 m the resistivity value from 140– 200 Ωm. It can be interpreted as silt. at a depth of 1.25 to 2.87 m in the distance of 33-64 m and 76 – 79 m and 130 -135 m the resistivity value is from 200 to 600 Ωm it can be interpreted as sandstone. The value of resistivity is more than 600 Ωm is interpreted as limestone that lies at a depth of 1.28 to 28.7

m and the distance of between 3 to 138 m.

The contours of all the lines were analyzed using surfer8 (Figure 6). The Measurement points as much as 3 trajectory illustrates by the distribution of rock below the surface of the depth of 5m, 10m, 15m, 20m, 25m. Based on the figure 8, the deeper under the surface, the smaller the value of resistivity of rocks. So it can be interpreted to the greater spread of fluid to the subsurface.

XRD Result

Sample A

The result of X ray diffraction patern using MATCH program shows in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Difractogram of sample A

Analysis of the content of the mineral phase in sample A showed that the rock sample A i s a crystalline material with the main content of calcite (CaCO3) and has a trigonal crystal syste m (hexagonal), the crystal size of a = 3.9670 Å AC = 17.0610. Mineral Calcite (CaCO3) has the highest peak in a row at an angle 2θ = 29,51º; 47.58 º; 48.58 °, the intensity of (i) 621; 95.8; 89.0 , Nitrogen (N2) has the highest peak at an angle 2θ = 363.8 29,51º and Selenium at an angle 2θ = 47.32 58.2.

Sample B

The result of X ray difraction pattern for sample B using *Match* program can be shown in Figure 8.

The number of the weight (%) of the mineral composition is shown in table 1. Analysis of the content of the mineral phase in sample B showed that the rock sample A is a crystalline mat erial with the main content of calcite (CaCO3) and has a trigonal crystal system (hexagonal), the

crystal size of a = 4.9758 Åc = 16.9921 Å. Mineral Calcite (CaCO3) has the highest peak in a row at an angle 2θ = 29,51º ; 39,55; º 48,70 the intensity of (i) 840,0 ; 158,3 ;156,6, Nitrogen (N2) has the highest peak at an angle 2θ = 29,51º with the intensity 875,9.

**Figure 8.** Difractogram of sample B

Table 1. The composition of research result

Sample	Mineral content	weight (%)
	Calcit (CaCO$_3$)	59.5
A	Nitrogen (N$_2$)	39.3
	Selenium (Se)	1.1
B	Calcit (CaCO$_3$)	84.0
	Nitrogen (N$_2$)	16.0

Based on Table 1, it is known that the composition of mineral stone of Tinggi Raja area is dominated by Calcite (CaCO$_3$). It is supporting the data from 2D resistivity imaging that the resistivity value of the survey area is dominated by limestone (CaCO$_3$) with resitivity value is more than 600 Ωm especially in the top soil area.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

From the study it can be concluded that the distribution patterns of geothermal fluid in the study area is spread laterally, leading to a geothermal manifestation. where the clay layer as conductive zone with resistivity value between 9.09 to 80 Ωm in the line 1, 7.89 to 80 Ωm in line 2 and 40-80 Ωm in line 3 . While the cover layer in line 3 is limestone with resistivity value is more 600 Ωm. The main mineral constituent geothermal rocks in Tinggi Raja area is calcite (CaCO3) with a trigonal crystal system (hexagonal). Mineral is a major constituent mineral limestone.

From the research that has been obtained, the suggestions for further research are exp

anding research area to see the pattern of spread of the geothermal fluid in more detail using ge ophysical methods such as geomagnetic methods to corroborate the information about the patt ern of spread of geothermal fluid in the area.

REFERENCES

Badan Pusat Statistika, (2013), *Statistika Daerah Kabupaten Simalungun 2013*. Badan Pusat S tatistika Kabupaten Simalungun, Pematang Siantar, *http://simalungunkab.bps.go.id/* (diakses pada 15 Januari 2014).

Departemen Fisika, (2012), Laboratorium Analisis Bahan (Pengujian dan Analisis Data), FMIPA IPB, Bogor.

Farid, M., Hadi, A.I , Fetusianti, (2008), Analisis Resistivitas Batuan Berdasarkan Data Geolistrik Untuk Memprediksi Sumber Panas Bumi, *Jurnal Sains MIPA* **14** :79-84.

Lenat, J.F, dkk, (2000), Geoelectrical structure of the central zone of Piton de la Fournaise volca no (Réunion), *Bull Volcanol*, **62** : 75-89.

Loke, M.H., (2004), *Tutorial : 2-D and 3-D Electrical Imaging Suveys*, email : *drmhloke@yahoo.com*.

Milsom, J., (2003), *Field Geophysics : Third Edition*, West Sussex PO19 SQ, England

Silaban, M., (2001), Studi Mineral Lempung Hidrotermal Dan Aplikasinya Untuk Operasi Pembo ran Panas bumi (Studi Kasus : Prospek Panasbumi Ulubelu, Lampung*, Proceeding Of The 5th Inaga Annual Scientific Conference & Exhibitions* **5** : 7-10.

Telford,W.M., Gerald LP., Sheriff R., (1990), *Applied Geophysics Second Edition*, Cambridge University Press, New York.

WWF Indonesia, (2013), *Panduan Kelestarian untuk Pemanfaatan Panas Bumi, British Embassy*, Jakarta..